Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/593,486	POSCHALKO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	RACHEL KAHN	1766	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>30</u>		
(1) <u>RACHEL KAHN</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Bryan Davidson</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 1 March 2011	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part III. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
/RACHEL KAHN/ Examiner, Art Unit 1766 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed issues with regard to the claims submitted 2/16/11. Agreed that in the claims as amended, p in claims 30 and 32 needed to be amended to be consistent with claim 29. Also agreed that index m in claims 30 and 32 was already 2, so the recitation that m=2-4 was unnecessary. Applicant agreed to file a supplemental amendment.